IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 020431.0912

In re Application of:

MANOEL TENORIO Examiner:

Serial No. 09/970,052 I JUNG LIU

Filed: 3 OCTOBER 2001 Art Unit: 3691

For: **DISPLAYING MARKET DATA** Confirmation No.: 8991

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir/Madam:

This response is submitted in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed 24 June 2009, which provides for a one-month response period ending 24 July 2009. Please consider the following election and remarks.

ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE:

The Restriction Requirement alleges a restriction to one of the following inventions is

required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 10-12, drawn to computer system having one or more computers

and operable to, classified in class 705, subclass 36.

II. Claims 1-9, drawn to method for generating, classified in class 705,

subclass 35.

III. Claims 13, drawn to method for system for a display, classified in class

705, subclass 37.

IV. Claims 14-18, drawn to system for at least one side of a market, classified

in class 705, subclass 40.

V. Claim 19-20, drawn to software embodied in a computer-readable

medium, classified in class 705, subclass 45.

Applicant elects to pursue Group II. Group II is directed to a method for generating.

Applicant respectfully submits that the foregoing election is not acquiescence in the propriety of the

restriction or in the accuracy in the determination and/or identification of the alleged

"subcombinations" in the subject Application.

Applicant elects to pursue Claims 1-9 (which is directed to a single species). Applicant

reiterates that the foregoing election is not an acquiescence in the propriety of the restriction or in

the accuracy in the determination and/or identification of an alleged "species" in the subject

Application. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement, as the

Requirement is improper.